

Analysis of Wearing Masks Compliance among Palembang Residents during the Covid-19 Pandemic using the Health Belief Model (HBM) Construct

Dhandi Wijaya¹ (corresponding author), **Misnaniarti²**, **Rico Januar Sitorus³**

¹Postgraduate Program, Magister of Public Health Science, Universitas Kader Bangsa, Palembang, Indonesia; dhandiwijaya@gmail.com

²Faculty of Public Health, Universitas Sriwijaya, Palembang, Indonesia; misnaniarti@fkm.unsri.ac.id

³Department of Epidemiology, Faculty of Public Health, Universitas Sriwijaya, Palembang, Indonesia; rico_januar@fkm.unsri.ac.id

Submitted: August 9, 2021 -Revised: November 15, 2021 -Accepted: November 15, 2021 -Published: January 31, 2022

ABSTRACT

Since it was first identified in China at the end of December 2019, Coronavirus disease 2019 (Covid-19) has quickly spread throughout the world, including Indonesia. Maintaining physical distance, washing hands, and wearing masks are very important in preventing the spread of Covid-19. Although the use of masks is relatively easy to do, the level of compliance with the use of masks in the Palembang is still low. This study aim was to analyze compliance in wearing masks among Palembang residents during the Covid-19 pandemic. This cross-sectional study was conducted on 400 Palembang residents aged ≥ 20 years who were taken by simple random sampling from 15 June to 15 July 2021. Data collection was carried out using an online questionnaire. The questionnaire consisted of questions regarding socio-demographics, statements regarding the HBM and compliance to wearing masks. Responses to statements of perception and compliance were scored using a 5-point Likert scale. Data were analyzed univariately, bivariate analysis using Chi-square test, and multivariate using logistic regression analysis. Bivariate analysis revealed that sex, education, and employment status were significant relationships to compliance to wearing masks ($p < 0.05$), but age and family income were not significantly related ($p > 0.05$). Sex was a confounding variable and multivariate analysis showed only perceived severity and cues to action had a significant relationship with compliance to wearing masks after it was controlled by the sex variable ($p < 0.05$), with PR were 0.558 (95% CI 0.331-0.941) and 0.410 (95% CI 0.242-0.696), consecutively. Perceived severity and cues to action are factors that influence compliance to wearing masks.

Keywords: Health Belief Model; compliance; wearing masks; Covid-19

INTRODUCTION

Since it was first identified in Wuhan City, Hubei Province, China at the end of December 2019, Coronavirus disease-2019 (Covid-19), which was later known to be caused by SARS-CoV-2 (Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus-2), has rapidly spread all over the world. The fast distribution process has led the World Health Organization (WHO) to designate Covid-19 as a Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC) on January 30, 2020^(1,2) and on March 11, 2020, WHO has declared Covid-19 as a pandemic.⁽³⁾

One year after being declared a pandemic by the WHO, Covid-19 has spread to more than 200 countries around the world. As of early February 2021, there were more than 105 million cases of Covid-19 worldwide, with more than 2.2 million deaths.⁽⁴⁾ The first case of Covid-19 in Indonesia was reported on March 2, 2020,^(5,6) and rapidly increased and spread rapidly throughout Indonesia, and on January 26, 2021, the number of Covid-19 cases in Indonesia had crossed the 1 million mark, to be exact there were 1,012,350 cases with 28,468 deaths (Case Fatality Rate / CFR 2.8%). In South Sumatra Province, the first case of Covid-19 was found on March 24, 2020, and on January 26, 2021, it had reached 13,911 cases with a total of 689 deaths (CFR 4.95%).⁽⁷⁾

SARS-CoV-2 (Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus-2) can cause severe acute respiratory syndrome, although the spectrum of symptoms of Covid-19 infection is very wide, ranging from asymptomatic, mild respiratory tract infection symptoms, to the onset of symptoms. life-threatening sepsis. Transmission of Covid-19 occurs mainly through respiratory droplets from face-to-face contact, through contaminated surfaces, and spread by aerosol, but aerosol transmission in humans remains unclear.⁽⁸⁾

While specific treatment for Covid-19 does not yet exist and the success of the Covid-19 vaccination has not been proven in stopping the spread of Covid-19, maintaining physical distance, washing hands, avoiding crowds, and wearing masks are still very essential in efforts to prevent transmission. Covid-19. Wearing a mask can provide additional protection in situations where physical distancing is difficult (eg in public transportation, shops, some workplaces, etc.). Some types of masks are even claimed to be able to reduce the transmission of Covid-19 by more than 95%, but the effectiveness of masks in inhibiting the transmission of Covid-19 also depends on their consistency and how they are used. Although the use of masks is a rational and easy choice to break the chain of transmission of Covid-19, the level of compliance with the use of masks consistently and correctly in Indonesia is still low. Vietnam, a country that is considered successful in suppressing the spread of Covid-19, has a wearing masks compliance rate

of 99.5%,⁽⁹⁾ while the compliance rate of wearing masks in Indonesia and in South Sumatra at the end of January 2021 was 87.66% and 70.44 %.⁽¹⁰⁾ Based on the available data, it can be understood why the spread of Covid-19 in Indonesia and South Sumatra Province, especially Palembang City, has not been controlled until now.

The Health Belief Model is an instrument for understanding why people do not adopt disease prevention strategies and behaviors and refuse to engage in preventive behavior.⁽¹¹⁾ This model has been widely used as a conceptual framework in behavioral research to understand individual health behaviors. HBM attempts to explain and predict behavioral outcomes based on two main aspects, the desire to avoid a health threat (eg infection or disease) and the perceived effectiveness of the behavior adopted to prevent the threat. Threat perception consists of the individual's perceived susceptibility and perceived severity to a particular disease or threat. The effectiveness of health behaviors depends on the interaction between the perceived benefits of the behavior and perceived barriers to taking action to reduce the threat or disease.⁽¹²⁾

The HBM includes four dimensions, (1) perceived vulnerability, (2) perceived severity, (3) perceived benefit, and (4) perceived barriers. Perceived susceptibility can explain that the more a person has a perception of the risk of a disease, the more likely it is to engage in behaviors to reduce that risk. Perceived severity includes some evaluation of the consequences of a disease based on medical information and knowledge as well as some beliefs about the negative consequences of certain behaviors or diseases that may occur to the individual. The third dimension, perceived benefit, indicates that when people perceive the value and benefits of adopting a new behavior to minimize disease risk, they are more likely to adopt the new behavior based on their perception of reducing risk. The fourth dimension, perceived barriers, is the most powerful dimension of the HBM, in which a person evaluates the barriers and difficulties they may face while adopting a new behavior. This dimension can result in a person giving up on adopting a new behavior. Individuals usually evaluate the benefits and consequences of a new behavior before leaving the old behavior.⁽¹¹⁾

METHODS

This cross-sectional study was conducted in Palembang from 15 June to 15 July 2021. The sample consisted of 419 Palembang residents aged ≥20 years and speak Indonesian who were taken by simple random sampling. Data were collected through filling out online questionnaires distributed through Whatsapp™. The questionnaire consisted of questions regarding the respondents sociodemographic (age, sex, education, employment status, and family income), statements about the Health Belief Model (HBM) consisting of perceptions of vulnerability, perceived severity, perceived benefits, perceived barriers, and cues to action, as well as statements to measure the level of compliance wearing masks. Each response to the HBM component and compliance to wearing masks was scored using a 5-point Likert scale from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree) for the HBM, and 1 (never) to 5 (always) for compliance to wearing a mask.

The HBM component was categorized into positive perceptions and negative perceptions, while compliance with using masks is categorized into compliant and non-compliant. Data were analyzed using Chi-square test (95% confidence interval) to find the relationship between the independent variable and the dependent variable, as well as multivariate analysis to determine the most dominant variable.

RESULTS

During fifteen days of distributing questionnaires through Whatsapp™, 478 responses were collected, two people were not willing to get involved in this study, thirty-five respondents were domiciled outside Palembang, and twenty-two respondents were under 20 years of age.

Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics (n= 419)

Characteristic	f (%)
Sex	
Male	125 (29.8)
Female	294 (70.2)
Age	
Young age (≤45 years)	330 (78.8)
Old age (>45 years)	89 (21.2)
Level of education	
Low	149 (35.6)
Height	270 (64.4)
Employment status	
Working	271 (64.7)
Not working	148 (35.3)
Family income	
High	272 (64.9)
Low	147 (35.1)

Table 1 shows that female respondents were more than male (70.2% vs. 29.8%), younger age was more than old age (78.8% vs. 21.2%), higher education was more than low education (35.6 vs. 64.4%), working respondents more than not working (64.7% vs. 35.3%) and high family income more than low family income (64.9% vs. 35.1%).

Table 2. Health Belief Model Components (n= 419)

HBM factors		f (%)
Perceived susceptibility	Positive	279 (66.6)
	Negative	140 (33.4)
Perceived severity	Positive	229 (54.7)
	Negative	190 (45.3)
Perceived benefits	Positive	255 (60.9)
	Negative	164 (39.1)
Perceived barriers	Positive	208 (49.6)
	Negative	211 (50.4)
Cues to action	Positive	323 (77.1)
	Negative	96 (22.9)

Table 2 shows that respondents with positive perceived susceptibility are more than respondents with negative perceived susceptibility (66.6% vs. 33.4%), it mean that most respondents feel vulnerable or at risk toward Covid-19. A total of 54.7% of respondents have a positive perceived severity, while 45.3% of respondents have a negative perceived severity, it mean that most respondents believe that Covid-19 can threaten their health and life. Respondents with positive perceived benefits were 60.9%, while respondents with negative perceived benefits were 39.1%, meaning that most respondents believe that using a mask can protect themselves from being exposed to Covid-19. As many as 49.6% of respondents have negative perceived barriers, while 50.4% of respondents have positive perceived barriers, it means that respondents who perceive that there are barriers to wearing masks are fewer than respondents who consider there are no barriers to wearing masks. Respondents with positive cues to action were more than respondents with negative cues to action (77.1% vs. 22.9%), it mean that most of the respondents felt that they had received enough encouragement or information from the government, mass media, or family.

Table 3. Compliance wearing masks (n= 419)

Wearing masks compliance	f (%)
Compliant	235 (56.1)
Non-compliant	184 (43.9)

Table 3 shows that compliant respondents to wearing masks more than non-compliant respondents (56.1% vs. 43.9%).

Table 4. The relationship between sociodemographic and HBM factors on compliance to wearing masks (n= 419)

Variable	Wearing masks compliance		p-value*	PR (95% CI)
	Compliant f (%)	Non-compliant f (%)		
Sex	Male	177 (60.2)	0.013	1.298 (1.052–1.601)
	Female	58 (46.4)		
Age	Young age	189 (57.3)	0.411	1.108 (0.723–1.126)
	Old age	46 (51.7)		
Level of education	Low	163 (60.4)	0.023	1.200 (0.661–0.970)
	Height	72 (48.3)		
Employment status	Working	164 (60.5)	0.018	1.261 (1.040–1.531)
	Not working	71 (48.0)		
Family income	High	160 (58.8)	0.152	1.153 (0.956–1.390)
	Low	75 (51.0)		
Perceived susceptibility	Positive	177 (63.4)	0.000	2.453 (1.620–3.716)
	Negative	58 (41.4)		
Perceived severity	Positive	154 (67.2)	0.000	2.763 (1.855–4.116)
	Negative	81 (42.6)		
Perceived benefits	Positive	166 (65.1)	0.000	2.568 (1.716–3.843)
	Negative	69 (42.1)		
Perceived barriers	Positive	138 (66.3)	0.000	2.317 (1.561–3.439)
	Negative	97 (46.0)		
Cues to action	Positive	204 (63.2)	0.000	3.594 (2.216–5.831)
	Negative	31 (32.3)		

* Chi-square test with 95% CI

Table 4 shows that sex, level of education, and employment status are significantly related to compliance to wearing masks ($p < 0.05$), but age and family income are not significantly related to compliance to wearing masks ($p > 0.05$). Female respondents, young age, working, higher education, and respondents with high family income are more compliant to wearing masks with prevalence ratio (PR) values of 1.298 (95% CI 1.052–1.601), 1.108 (95% CI 0.723–1.126), 1.200 (95% CI 0.661–0.970), 1.261 (95% CI 1.040–1.531), and 1.153 (95% CI 0.956–1.390), consecutively.

Respondent's perceived susceptibility, perceived severity, perceived benefits, perceived barriers, and cues to action were significantly related to compliance with wearing masks ($p < 0.05$). Respondents with positive perceived susceptibility, perceived severity, perceived benefits, perceived barriers, and cues to action were more compliant to wearing masks with prevalence ratio (PR) values of 2.453 (95% CI 1.620–3.716), 2.763 (95% CI 1.855–4.116), 2.568 (95% CI 1.716–3.843), 2.317 (95% CI 1.561–3.439), and 3.594 (95% CI 2.216–5.831), consecutively.

Table 5. Multivariate analysis result

Variable	p-value	Adjusted PR	95% CI
Sex	0.169	0.726	0.461–1.145
Perceived susceptibility	0.399	0.807	0.490–1.329
Perceived severity	0.029	0.558	0.331–0.941
Perceived benefits	0.491	0.832	0.494–1.403
Perceived barriers	0.050	0.647	0.418–1.000
Cues to action	0.001	0.410	0.242–0.696

From the multivariate analysis, it is known that sex is a confounding variable that can affect the relationship between the dependent variable and the independent variable. After multivariate analysis of multiple logistic regression the risk factor model, only perceived severity and cues to action had a significant relationship with compliance to wearing masks after controlling for sex variables ($p < 0.05$) with PR values PR of 0.558 (CI 95% 0.331-0.941) and 0.410 (95% CI 0.242-0.696).

DISCUSSION

Many determinant factors affect people's attitudes whether wear or not to wear masks during the Covid-19 pandemic. Rieger's study (2020) on 206 students and employees of the University of Trier, Germany found that the reasons for wearing masks are concerns about the current pandemic situation, self-protection, protecting others, and the existence of regulations that require the wearing masks, while the reasons for refusing to wear masks are thinking that wearing a mask looks weird and afraid of other people's judgment when wearing a mask.⁽¹³⁾ Some literature states that compliance or non-compliance in the wearing masks during the Covid-19 pandemic depends on a person's perception of Covid-19 which is influenced by demographic characteristics such as sex, age, domicile, education, income^(14,15), people's habits⁽¹³⁾, and the obligation to wear masks set by the government.⁽¹⁵⁾

Women tend to be more compliant in wearing masks in the right way than men.⁽¹⁶⁾⁽¹⁷⁾ Research by Abid et al. (2020) in three South Asian countries (Pakistan, India, and Bangladesh) the level of compliance to the wearing masks in women was 1.28 times higher than men.⁽¹⁴⁾ Haischer et al. (2020) in the results of his study in several areas of Wisconsin, United States, guess that there was a men perception about wearing masks as a sign of vulnerability or weakness, while women used masks to protect themselves and others because they handled most of the family matter.⁽¹⁵⁾ Capraro and Barcelo (2020) also got similar results, most of the men considered wearing a mask is something embarrassing, uncool, and a sign of weakness.⁽¹⁸⁾

Research Abid, et al. study (2020) found that respondents with undergraduate and postgraduate education levels were more compliant in wearing masks when compared to respondents with lower education. Respondents who working 2,455 were more compliant than those who did not work (95%CI = 1.759-3.427).⁽¹⁴⁾ This is consistent with the results of this study which found that respondents with high-level education and working were more compliant in wearing masks than respondents with lower education and not working.

Abid et al. also stated that people with high incomes tend to be more compliant to wearing masks than people with lower incomes. People with monthly incomes below \$100 have lower compliance rates for wearing masks compared to people with monthly incomes of \$100-\$300 and above \$300.⁽¹⁴⁾ According to Papageorge et al. (2021), people with low incomes are generally more difficult to afford the need for self-protection compared to people with higher incomes.⁽¹⁹⁾ However, the results of this study are not consistent with the results of research by Abid et al. There is no significant relationship between family income and compliance to wearing masks. The compliance of Palembang residents in wearing masks are not influenced by their family income because the price of masks in Palembang is still affordable by their financial situation.

In this study, cues to action have a significant relationship with compliance to wearing masks. There are inconsistencies in the results of this study with previous studies, each study got different results. Kim & Kim's research found that only perceived benefits and perceived barriers were related to compliance with wearing masks⁽²⁰⁾, while Sim et al. (2014) concluded that all HBM factors were significantly related to adherence to wearing masks.⁽²¹⁾

Perceived severity is an individual's subjective assessment of the severity of a health problem and its consequences. Perceived severity broadly includes beliefs about the disease itself as well as beliefs about its impact on work and social roles that are relevant to the individual. The higher a person's perceived severity, the higher the effort to reduce the risk of getting a disease, and vice versa.⁽²²⁾ Perceived severity is related to compliance with wearing masks. High levels of anxiety can increase behavior change, and anxiety can also be a facilitator for preventive action.⁽²³⁾ Cues to action in the form of recommendations from families and health workers is a very important factor to improve preventive behavior. Mirzae et al (2021) stated that external influences such as social media can play a very important role in promoting individual internal awareness in preventing the transmission of Covid-19.⁽²⁴⁾

CONCLUSION

This study indicates that perceived severity and cues to action have a direct effect on compliance with wearing masks during the COVID-19 pandemic. The Palembang residents who feel that Covid-19 can disrupt their health and daily life are more compliant in wearing masks, as well as the role of the government, mass media, and family support is very important to increase knowledge and change attitudes about Covid-19 and the benefits of wearing masks so that compliance with using masks can increase.

REFERENCES

1. Kemenkes RI. Pedoman pencegahan dan pengendalian corona virus disease (Covid-19). Jakarta: Kemenkes RI; 2020.
2. Dawood FS, Ricks P, Njie GJ, Daugherty M, Davis W, Fuller JA, et al. Observations of the global epidemiology of Covid-19 from the pre-pandemic period using web-based surveillance: A cross-sectional analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2020;20(11):1255–62.
3. Liu YC, Kuo RL, Shih SR. Covid-19: The first documented coronavirus pandemic in history. *Biomed J.* 2020;43(4):328–33.
4. Worldometer. Covid-19: Coronavirus pandemic [Internet]. 2021. Available from: <https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/>
5. Pemerintah Provinsi Sumatera Selatan. Situasi terkini perkembangan corona virus disease-19 (Covid-19) Provinsi Sumatera Selatan tanggal 26 Januari 2021 [Internet]. 2021. Available from: <http://corona.sumselprov.go.id/index.php?module=dataterkinidetail&id=350>
6. Sitorus RJ, Wathan I, Ridwan H, Wibisono H, Nuraini L, Yusri, et al. Transmission dynamics of novel Coronavirus-SARS-CoV-2 in South Sumatera, Indonesia. *Clin Epidemiol Glob Heal* [Internet] 2021;11(100777):1–4. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34027231>
7. Pemerintah Provinsi Sumatera Selatan. Situasi terkini perkembangan corona virus disease-19 (Covid-19) Provinsi Sumatera Selatan tanggal 6 Februari 2021 [Internet]. Palembang: 2021. Available from: <http://corona.sumselprov.go.id/index.php?module=dataterkinidetail&id=365>
8. Wiersinga WJ, Rhodes A, Cheng AC, Peacock SJ, Prescott HC. Pathophysiology, transmission, diagnosis, and treatment of coronavirus disease 2019 (Covid-19): A Review. *JAMA* 2020;324(8):782–93.
9. Nguyen NPT, Hoang TD, Tran VT, Vu CT, Fodjo JNS, Colebunders R, et al. Preventive behavior of Vietnamese people in response to the Covid-19 pandemic. *PLoS One* [Internet] 2020;15(9 September):1–11. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0238830>
10. Satuan Tugas Penanganan Covid-19. Monitoring kepatuhan protokol kesehatan tingkat nasional. 07 Februari [Internet] 2021; Available from: https://covid19.go.id/storage/app/media/Analisis Data COVID-19 Indonesia/2021/Februari/Monitoring Kepatuhan Protokol Kesehatan per 07 Februari 2021_vPublish.pdf
11. Smith V, Studies C. The use of the Health Belief Model to determine the differences in employees' beliefs and perceptions about hand washing, mask wearing and social distancing to prevent the spread of the Covid-19 virus in a higher learning institution in Trinidad and Tobago. *IJSBAR* [Internet] 2020;54(1):146–57. Available from: <https://www.gssrr.org/index.php/JournalOfBasicAndApplied/article/view/11615>
12. Raamkumar AS, Tan SG, Wee HL. Use of health belief model-based deep learning classifiers for Covid-19 social media content to examine public perceptions of physical distancing: Model development and case study. *JMIR Public Heal Surveill* [Internet] 2020;6(3). Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7363169/>
13. Rieger MO. To wear or not to wear? Factors influencing wearing face masks in Germany during the Covid-

- 19 pandemic. Soc Heal Behav [Internet] 2020;3:50–4. Available from: <http://www.shbonweb.com/text.asp?2020/3/1/1/280554>
14. Abid K, Bari Y, Ziadi T, Khambati Z, Younus M, Hasan A, et al. Adherence of facemask during Covid pandemic among South Asian countries-An observational study. 2020;1–8. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-113617/v1>
 15. Haischer MH, Beilfuss R, Hart MR, Opielinski L, Wrucke D, Zirgaitis G, et al. Who is wearing a mask? Gender-, age-, and location-related differences during the Covid-19 pandemic. PLoS One [Internet] 2020;15(10 October):1–12. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240785>
 16. Johns Hopkins. Promoting mask-wearing during the Covid-19 pandemic: A policymaker’s guide. 2020;(August):3–8. Available from: <https://preventepidemics.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/08/Promoting-Mask-Wearing-During-COVID-19.pdf>
 17. Tso R V., Cowling BJ. Importance of face masks for Covid-19: A call for effective public education. Clin Infect Dis [Internet] 2020;71(16):2195–8. Available from: <https://academic.oup.com/cid/article/71/16/2195/5866410>
 18. Capraro V, Barcelo H. The effect of messaging and gender on intentions to wear a face covering to slow down Covid-19 transmission. J Behav Econ Policy [Internet] 2020;4(2):45–55. Available from: <http://arxiv.org/abs/2005.05467>
 19. Papageorge NW, Zahn M V., Belot M, van den Broek-Altenburg E, Choi S, Jamison JC, et al. Socio-demographic factors associated with self-protecting behavior during the Covid-19 pandemic. J Popul Econ 2021;34(2):691–738.
 20. Kim S, Kim S. Analysis of the impact of health beliefs and resource factors on preventive behaviors against the covid-19 pandemic. Int J Environ Res Public Health [Internet] 2020;17(22):1–21. Available from: <https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/17/22/8666>
 21. Sim SW, Moey KSP, Tan NC. The use of facemasks to prevent respiratory infection: A literature review in the context of the Health Belief Model. Singapore Med J 2014;55(3):160–7.
 22. Kim J, Kim Y. What predicts Korean citizens’ mask-wearing behaviors? Health beliefs and protective behaviors against particulate matter. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2021;18(6):1–11.
 23. Mehanna A, Elhadi YAM, Lucero-Prisno DE. Factors influencing intention to adhere to precautionary behavior in times of COVID- 19 pandemic in Sudan: An application of the Health Belief Model. medRxiv [Internet] 2020;2020.12.25.20248859. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.25.20248859>
 24. Mirzaei A, Kazembeigi F, Kakaei H, Jalilian M, Mazloomi S, Nourmoradi H. Application of health belief model to predict COVID-19-preventive behaviors among a sample of Iranian adult population. J Educ Health Promot [Internet] 2018;10(69):1–6. Available from: <https://www.jehp.net/article.asp?issn=2277-9531;year=2021;volume=10;issue=1;spage=69;epage=69;aulast=Mirzaei>